To: Selected Portland Harbor Superfund Site Stakeholders

From: John Marshall – Concerned Portland Citizen

Re: Concerns About Portland Harbor Mitigation and Conservation Bank Credit and Debit Currency (DSAYs) on Overall Recovery of Habitat Losses from Superfund Related Pollution

There is good reason to question the illogical arithmetic used to calculate the present value or amount of DSAYs at the Portland Harbor Mitigation and Conservation Banks. The amount of credit allotted to recovery is balanced against the amount of damage the credits are intended to offset. In Portland Harbor they are intended to compensate for the adverse effects of over a century of disposal of materials so toxic and hazardous that they have led to the Portland Harbor being regulated as a Superfund site.

When compared to the amount of credits allotted to all other mitigation and conservation bank sites in the State of Oregon, acre-for-acre the Portland Harbor sites range somewhere in the neighborhood of having ten to twenty times more allotted credits. As a retired U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service mitigation and conservation bank Interagency Review Team member, one of my first thoughts is how this disparity might influence other potential bank sponsors around the State to demand similar credit allotments for their banks and then how that may change the sustainability of the State's mitigation and conservation banking program overall. These banks are used as a tool to offset adverse impacts on the environment by the regulated public. The regulated public is concerned that the cost of credits used to compensate for their debits does not exceed their allowances for meeting their business constraints. Meanwhile, the natural resource agencies are mindful that the mitigation and conservation transactions must be adequate to offset the environmental damages they are targeted against. The only way for that to work is to make sure the effective mitigation ratios are adequate to off-set both acreage and functional environmental losses. This essentially means that the same methods to estimate credit must also be used to estimate debit.

Because of the nascent state of environmental functional assessment, generally regulators invoke a precautionary principle so that no less than a 1:1 compensatory mitigation ratio on acreage is applied to each transaction. For all transactions in Oregon other than those slated at Portland Harbor, this has been assured by using mitigation credit dividers with a minimum of one and a maximum of ten.¹ All debits are tallied with a multiplier value of one, so if the mitigation divider is one the replacement ratio is 1:1. If the mitigation divider is three the replacement ratio is 3:1. And if the mitigation divider is ten then the replacement ratio is 10:1. But at the Portland Harbor banks the mitigation dividers are much less than 1 (see Figure 1). So, in order to preserve the precautionary principle the debits must be tallied using multipliers considerably larger than 1, ranging between 9 to 18-times greater than 1 (see Figure 2). The overarching

¹ Both enhancement and preservation have mitigation dividers of three and ten respectively, but there is 100% loss of wetland acreage in both transaction cases.

To determine n if your particul to generate cre calculation of ti mitigation ban enter data fron necessarily limi	or Testing Multiple D et mitigation credit rem ar circumstances warra dits or debits, enter 0 in he sum statistics and for k, they are stored as a co a some of the existing op tied to: I. the number of and authorities over mi	aining and its c nt it. If you war their mitigatior total credits re llective array o erational bank decimal places	redit dollar value from a nt to derive the total cree n acres and 0 in their de- maining and their dollar f credits disassociated w ledgers and derive diffe- used in data entry, 2. u	debit/credit tra lits available an velopment acres r values. This we ith the specific a rent outcomes the	unsaction, enter and s d their value for a ne data input boxes. No orksheet will be upda acreage that generate han reported in the le hair approved change	elect the informati wly established mi te: All transaction ted to accomodate d them. Therefore dgers, there are se ss in the final mitig	tigation bank, make su table buttons must be any new information a credits to off-set debit veral possible explanat ation acreage calculation	re to enter 0-acr selected at least as it becomes ava s are withdrawn ions. For examp	es into the development once to allow all the calc ilable. Finally, it is impo from the entire bank, n le, data entry errors can	acres input boxe culated data inpu ortant to underst ot a specific acre lead to differen	es. If there are any tran at query boxes to be po and that once credits he age or mitigation type. t outcome results. Othe	sactions that a pulated and to lave been calcu Finally, it is a er factors may	subsequently allow a dated for a given lso worth noting if you also include but are not
Compensato	ry Mitigation Action 1	Compensato	ory Mitigation Action 2	Compensato	ry Mitigation Action 3	Compensato	ry Mitigation Action 4	Compensate	ory Mitigation Action 5	Compensato	ory Mitigation Action 6	=1	
Development	734.2100000004529	Development	502.51000000009515	Development	586.5000000000442	Development	320.7000000000784	Development	0	Development	0		
Acres:		Acres:		Acres:		Acres:		Acres:		Acres:			
Mitigation Acres:	55.43	Mitigation Acres:	27.83	Mitigation Acres:	54.00	Mitigation Acres:	34.156	Mitigation Acres:	0	Mitigation Acres:	0		Sum Credits
Development	1.00	Development	1.00	Development	1.00	Development	1.00	Development	1.00	Development	1.00	Sum of Total	
Multiplier:	Low	Multiplier:	Low	Multiplier:	Low	Multiplier:	Low	Multiplier:	Low	Multiplier:	Low	Credits	2143.92
Mitigation	0.0754961114667	Mitigation	0.0553819824481	Mitigation	0.09207161125319	Mitigation	0.1065045213595	Mitigation	1.00	Mitigation	1.00	Required	
Divider:	Restoration V	Divider:	Restoration V	Divider:	Restoration V	Divider:	Restoration V	Divider:	Restoration V	Divider:	Restoration V	Sum of	
Price Per	reserva	Price Per	restoration	Price Per	restoration	Price Per	restoration	Price Per	restoration	Price Per	restoration	Total Credits	160794000.00
Credit:	75000.00	Credit:	75000.00	Credit:	75000.00	Credit:	75000.00	Credit:	75000.00	Credit:	75000.00	Cost	
Number of		Number of		Number of		Number of		Number of		Number of		Total	
Credits	734.2100000004529	Credits	502.51000000009515	Credits	586.5000000000442	Credits	320.7000000000784	Credits	0	Credits	0	Remaining	0.00
Required:		Required:		Required:		Required:		Required:		Required:		Credits Available:	
Total Credit	55065750.00003397	Total Credit	37688250.00000714	Total Credit	43987500.000003316	Total Credit	24052500.00000588	Total Credit	0	Total Credit	0	Total	
Cost:		Cost:		Cost:		Cost:		Cost:		Cost:		Remaining	
Remaining Credits	0	Remaining Credits	0	Remaining Credits	0	Remaining Credits	0	Remaining Credits	0	Remaining Credits	0	Credit	0.00
Available:	0	Available:	0	Available:	0	Available:	0	Available:	0	Available:	0	Value:	
Remaining		Remaining		Remaining		Remaining		Remaining		Remaining			
Credit	0	Credit	0	Credit	0	Credit	0	Credit	0	Credit	0	Sum Credits	
Value:		Value:		Value:		Value:		Value:		Value:			
Calculate Credits 0		Calculate Credits Calculate		Calculate Credits	Calculate Credits Calculate Credits		edits Calculate Cred		Calculate Credits Calculate Credits		Calculate Credits		
Reset													
Author: John M	arshall												

Figure 1. About 171-acres of habitat recovery in exchange for 2,144-acres of habitat loss marketed at a value of \$160,794,000.00.²

²Assumes credits and debits are valued at \$75,000.00.

To determine no f your particul or generate cre alculation of the noting at the noting	or Testing Multiple D are mitigation credit rem. lar circumstances warra dits or debits, enter 0 in the sum statistics and for k, they are stored as a co n some of the existing op tited to: 1. the number of and authorities over mi	aining and its c nt it. If you wan their mitigation total credits re llective array o erational bank decimal places	redit dollar value from nt to derive the total cro n acres and 0 in their dollar emaining and their dollar f credits disassociated valued ledgers and derive diff used in data entry, 2. to	a debit/credit tra dits available an velopment acres ir values. This w with the specific irent outcomes the	ansaction, enter and sel dd their value for a newl data input boxes. Note orksheet will be update acreage that generated han reported in the ledg hair approved changes:	ect the informati ly established mi : All transaction d to accomodate them. Therefore gers, there are se in the final mitig	itigation bank, make sur table buttons must be so any new information as , credits to off-set debits veral possible explanatic ation acreage calculation	e to enter 0-acre elected at least of it becomes ava are withdrawn ons. For exampl	es into the development once to allow all the calc ilable. Finally, it is impo from the entire bank, n le, data entry errors can	acres input boxo ulated data inpu rtant to underst ot a specific acre lead to differen	es. If there are any tra nt query boxes to be p tand that once credits eage or mitigation typ t outcome results. Otl	nsactions that a opulated and to have been calcu e. Finally, it is a ner factors may	are not going to be use subsequently allow a alated for a given lso worth noting if yo also include but are n
Compensato	ory Mitigation Action 1	Compensato	ory Mitigation Action 2	Compensato	ory Mitigation Action 3	Compensato	ory Mitigation Action 4	Compensato	ory Mitigation Action 5	Compensato	ory Mitigation Action 6		
Development	55.43	Development Acres:	27.83	Development	54.00	Development Acres:	34.156	Development Acres:	0	Development Acres:	0		
Acres: Mitigation		Acres: Mitigation		Acres: Mitigation		Acres: Mitigation		Mitigation		Acres: Mitigation			C C 1:
Acres:	55.43	Acres:	27.83	Acres:	54.00	Acres:	34.156	Acres:	0	Acres:	0	Sum of	Sum Credits
Development	13.2457153166155511455	Development	18.056413941789435860	Development	10.8611111111111111111	Development	9.38927274856540578522	Development	1.00	Development	1.00	Total	2143.92
Multiplier:	Low	Multiplier:	Low	Multiplier:	Low	Multiplier:	Low	Multiplier:	Low	Multiplier:	Low	Credits	2143.92
Mitigation	0.07549611146674657114	Mitigation	0.05538198244811048536	Mitigation	0.09207161125319693094	Mitigation	0.10650452135952603679	Mitigation	1.00	Mitigation	1.00	Required Sum of	
Divider:	Restoration V	Divider:	Restoration V	Divider:	Restoration V	Divider:	Restoration V	Divider:	Restoration V	Divider:	Restoration ~	Total	160794000.00
Price Per Credit:	75000.00	Price Per Credit:	75000.00	Price Per Credit:	75000.00	Price Per Credit:	75000.00	Price Per Credit:	75000.00	Price Per Credit:	75000.00	Credits Cost	160794000.00
Number of		Number of		Number of		Number of		Number of		Number of		Total	
Credits	734.209999999999	Credits	502.51	Credits	586.5	Credits	320.7	Credits	0	Credits	0	Remaining Credits	0.00
Required:		Required:		Required:		Required:		Required:		Required:		Available:	
Total Credit Cost:	55065749.99999999	Total Credit Cost:	37688250	Total Credit Cost:	43987500	Total Credit Cost:	24052500	Total Credit Cost:	0	Total Credit Cost:	0	Total	
Remaining		Remaining		Remaining		Remaining		Remaining		Remaining		Remaining	0.00
Credits	0	Credits	0	Credits	0	Credits	0	Credits	0	Credits	0	Credit Value:	
Available:		Available:		Available:		Available:		Available:		Available:			
Remaining Credit	0	Remaining Credit	0	Remaining Credit	0	Remaining Credit	0	Remaining Credit	0	Remaining Credit	0	Sum Credits	
Value:		Value:		Value:		Value:		Value:		Value:			
Calculate Credits Calculate Credits			Calculate Credits		Calculate Credits		Calculate Credits		Calculate Credit	5	= -		
Reset													
author: John M	[arshall												

Figure 2. About 171-acres of habitat recovery in exchange for 171-acres of habitat loss marketed at a value of \$160,794,000.00.³

³ Assumes credits and debits are valued at \$75,000.00.

effect is the regulated public must spend between 9 to 18 time as much to offset the same acreage of impact as they have become accustomed to in similar transactions. That situation leaves one to suspect the regulated public will push back on the regulators and possibly even demand the regulators ease off on their perceived unfair burden, which could conceivably influence the regulators to capitulate over the objections of the natural resource agencies and to adjust their compensatory debit multipliers lower and closer to 1 or entirely back to 1. The net effect of this would be to set into motion a replacement strategy of less than 1:1 for natural resource damages in Portland Harbor, possibly considerably less than 1:1.

As a former U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service representative, I would prefer to not be forced to deal with a situation where the mitigation and conservation bank credits and debits (DSAYs) are assessed at such high numbers. I think the arithmetic logic which yields these inflated numbers is at best suspect (see https://www.mitigationcreditdebit.com/DSAYsAnalyzed.pdf). But, if I am left with no other choice than to go down that rabbit hole, then as a citizen of Portland concerned about the Portland Harbor clean-up effort, I would prefer an alternative at least as conservative as a 1:1 credit to debit ratio (>1:1 would be even better) holding true to a precautionary principle as illustrated in Figure 2. I would be vehemently opposed to any transactional scheme that invokes credit / debit transactions similar to those illustrated in Figure 1. Of course, the only way to know for sure is through transparent accessible accounting. The debit acreages and geographic coordinates should be tracked, recorded, and reported in a common database accessible both to the members of the Trustee Council with oversight authority and to Portland Harbor stakeholders.

Concerned Citizen of Portland

John Marsall