

## Re: Response to Public Comments on the Portland Harbor Natural Resource Damages Consent Decrees

JOHN MARSHALL <jl.marshall@comcast.net>

7:43 AM



**To** Julie Weis **Copy** mpouncil@comcast.net

Reply Forward Delete 

 1 attachment ▸ View Download

Ms. Weis,

Thank you for forwarding and alerting me to the response in Attachment C (Plaintiffs' Responses to Public Comments) as per the above cited NRDA Consent Decree public process.

Unfortunately, it appears that the differences of opinion, on what I now consider not just possible but rather probable errors of application of HEA assessment methods at the Portland Harbor mitigation banks, remain unresolved by the response. From my brief review of the response, it seems these same errors may have been repeated in other applications over a wider geographic region. If that turns out to be the case, it will truly be alarming news.

I am currently looking into my options to bring this travesty further into the public spotlight and for continuing my efforts to achieve a viable and defensible resolution in the interest of protecting and prudently managing Portland Harbor Federal trust natural resources.

John Marshall  
503-913-1695

On 06/25/2025 5:09 PM PDT Julie Weis <jweis@hk-law.com> wrote:

Dear Mr. Marshall (and Michael) – in follow up to our last communication, and in follow up to your prior communications with attorney Christopher Plaisted (now retired), below is a link to the Response to Public Comments on the Portland Harbor Natural Resource Damages Consent Decrees:

<https://pub-data.diver.orr.noaa.gov/portland-harbor/Motion%20to%20Enter%20Consent%20Decrees%2C%20Attachment%20C%20-%20Response%20to%20Public%20Comments.pdf>

